Examiner-Initiated Interview Summar		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	2201	09/894,205	KURATA, KAZUSHI
Examiner-indated interview Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		William M. Treat	2183
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) William M. Treat.		(3)	
(2) <u>Douglas P. Mueller</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 September 2005		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applicad	nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 112, 2nd			
Claims discussed: 25			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	HE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant result in resolution of all issues. A brie 	cation. The separate re	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) ((Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	nnature – if annronriate)
(· ·Philoniny	The secure of tobiosometries of	griaturo – ii appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner stated he thought claim 25 lacked an essential element when it did not mention use of the staus flag in conjunction with the conditional execution status updated by the sequencer for controlling overriding of the instructions.. Applicant agreed to amend claim 25 as presented in the examiner's amendment..